Urwatul Wutsqo: Jurnal Studi Kependidikan dan Keislaman
Vol. 15 No. 3, November 2026
P-ISSN: 2252-6099; E-ISSN: 2721-2483
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54437/urwatulwutsqo.v15i3.3378

Beyond Knowledge Transmission: Muslim Intellectual Contributions and the Dynamics of Islam–West Knowledge Circulation

Rizqiatin Rosa[1], Zulfi Mubaraq[2], A. Nurul Kawakip[3]
Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang[123]
rizkyatinrosa89@gmail.com,[1] zulfi@pips.uin-malang.ac.id,[2] akhmad.nurul@pai.uin-malang.ac.id[3]

Received: June 15, 2026 Revised: October 05, 2026 Accepted: November 17, 2026 Online: November 20, 2026

Keywords:
Muslim Intellectual Contributions; Islam–West Intellectual Relations

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the paradigms of Muslim contributions to modern Western thought, identify the internal and external factors shaping these contributions, and examine their implications for science and technology. Using a qualitative integrative literature review, the study synthesizes 20 Indonesian scholarly articles published between 2016 and 2025. Data were examined through qualitative content analysis involving coding, categorization, and cross-source comparison. Harold D. Lasswell's communication framework was applied to interpret intellectual actors, knowledge content, transmission channels, receiving communities, and consequences. The findings identify four paradigms: historical mediation, responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous intellectual renewal. Ten formative factors comprise five internal factors related to intellectual renewal, educational capacity, and engagement with tradition, and five external factors associated with historical encounters, colonialism, Western rationalism, globalization, and modernity. Six categories of implications include three positive intellectual contributions and three problematic conditions arising in changing historical contexts. The analysis further identifies two interconnected patterns of knowledge circulation: trans-civilizational circulation, involving the development and transformation of Islamic knowledge within European intellectual settings, and reflexive circulation, involving intellectual renewal within Muslim societies in response to Western modernity. The study contributes a contextual application of Lasswell's framework, demonstrating that Islam–West intellectual relations involve active recipients, multiple transmission channels, and historically contingent processes of knowledge production, adaptation, and transformation rather than linear one-way transmission.

Kata kunci:
Kontribusi Intelektual Muslim; Hubungan Intelektual Islam–Barat; Sirkulasi Pengetahuan; Transformasi Intelektual.

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan menganalisis paradigma kontribusi intelektual umat Islam terhadap perkembangan pemikiran Barat modern, mengidentifikasi faktor internal dan eksternal yang memengaruhinya, serta mengkaji implikasinya terhadap perkembangan ilmu pengetahuan dan teknologi. Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan desain integrative literature review terhadap 20 artikel jurnal ilmiah Indonesia yang diterbitkan pada periode 2016–2025. Data dianalisis menggunakan analisis isi kualitatif melalui pengodean, kategorisasi, dan perbandingan lintas sumber. Kerangka komunikasi Harold D. Lasswell digunakan untuk menganalisis aktor intelektual, substansi pengetahuan, saluran transmisi, komunitas penerima, dan konsekuensi intelektual. Hasil penelitian mengidentifikasi empat paradigma, yaitu mediasi historis, respons terhadap modernitas, integrasi rasionalitas, dan pembaruan intelektual berkelanjutan. Sepuluh faktor pembentuk mencakup lima faktor internal yang berkaitan dengan pembaruan pemikiran, kapasitas pendidikan, dan pengkajian tradisi, serta lima faktor eksternal yang meliputi perjumpaan historis, kolonialisme, rasionalisme Barat, globalisasi, dan modernitas. Enam kategori implikasi terdiri atas tiga kontribusi positif dan tiga kondisi problematik dalam konteks perubahan sejarah. Analisis menghasilkan dua pola sirkulasi

pengetahuan yang saling berkaitan, yaitu sirkulasi trans-peradaban yang menggambarkan pengembangan dan transformasi pengetahuan Islam dalam lingkungan intelektual Eropa, serta sirkulasi refleksif yang menjelaskan pembaruan intelektual masyarakat Muslim sebagai respons terhadap modernitas Barat. Kontribusi konseptual penelitian terletak pada penerapan kontekstual kerangka Lasswell yang menunjukkan bahwa hubungan intelektual Islam–Barat melibatkan penerima aktif, beragam saluran transmisi, serta proses produksi, adaptasi, dan transformasi pengetahuan yang dipengaruhi kondisi historis, bukan sekadar perpindahan pengetahuan secara linear.

This is an open-access article under the CC BY-SA license.

Copyright:
© 2026 by the authors.
Licensee: LP3M Al-Urwatul Wutsqo Jombang, Indonesia. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike (CC BY-SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

Corresponding Author:
Rizqiatin Rosa
Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang, Indonesia; rizkyatinrosa89@gmail.com

Introduction

The role of Muslims in the development of modern Western thought occupies an important place in intellectual history because it demonstrates that Western thought did not emerge in isolation but was shaped through encounters among civilizations. Conceptually, modern Western thought reflects the accumulation and transformation of multiple intellectual traditions, including Islamic scholarship, which made significant contributions to the development of rationality, philosophy, and modern science (G. Rahman, 2024). In functional terms, Muslim scholars served as intellectual intermediaries between the classical Greek heritage and European intellectual developments through translation, preservation, critical examination, elaboration, and systematization of knowledge subsequently transmitted to Europe (Pandi dkk., 2023a). In terms of contributions, Islamic intellectual traditions were not merely vehicles for the transmission of knowledge; they also helped establish epistemological foundations for the development of disciplines such as philosophy, medicine, mathematics, education, and scientific methodology, which subsequently evolved within modern Western scholarship (Rayyahun, Sukmana, & Widianti, 2025). These considerations underscore the need for a more critical and integrated examination of the role of Muslims in the development of modern Western thought.

Previous scholarship on this subject can be grouped into three principal tendencies. The first is historical, focusing on the transmission of knowledge from the Islamic world to the West. Such studies have established the important role of Islamic civilization in preserving, developing, and disseminating knowledge. Nevertheless, they tend to present Islam–West intellectual relations as a linear process of transmission, leaving insufficiently explained the dynamic processes of adaptation, transformation, negotiation, intellectual exchange, and resistance that also shaped these relations (Naila dkk., 2025). The Islamic contribution to Western thought therefore cannot be understood solely as the movement of knowledge from one civilization to another.

The second tendency is biographical, emphasizing the contributions of particular Muslim figures to the development of science and philosophy. Biographical studies offer valuable insights into individual Muslim scholars' ideas and works. However, by privileging individual contributions, they have not adequately explained the structural, institutional, social, political, and cultural conditions that enabled Islamic intellectual traditions to flourish and their knowledge to reach the West (Hamzah, 2022). This leaves open the question of how individual scholars' contributions interacted with broader scholarly environments and social structures.

The third tendency is conceptual, focusing on rationality, philosophy, and the relationship between Islamic intellectual heritage and modern Western thought. These studies illuminate epistemological connections between classical Islamic philosophy and the development of modern rationalism and science. Yet they tend to remain at the level of conceptual explanation, without systematically linking paradigms of Islamic intellectual contributions to the factors that enabled those contributions and their implications for scientific and technological development (Ashar dkk., 2025).

Taken together, these three tendencies suggest that the central unresolved issue is not whether Muslims contributed to modern Western thought, a question widely addressed in earlier research. Rather, the knowledge gap lies in the lack of an integrated account of how Muslim contributions are conceptualized as an intellectual paradigm, which historical, social, political, cultural, and epistemological factors enabled them, and how these contributions shaped subsequent developments in modern science and technology.

In response to this gap, the present study approaches relations between Islam and the West not merely as a one-way transfer of knowledge, but as intellectual encounters involving the transmission, transformation, adaptation, and reconstruction of knowledge. This perspective makes it possible to recognize Muslims not simply as translators or custodians of ancient Greek knowledge, but as producers of knowledge who critically engaged with, elaborated, refined, and transformed different intellectual traditions before these traditions influenced European intellectual development.

Accordingly, the study addresses three issues. First, it examines paradigms of Muslim involvement in the development of modern Western thought, particularly intellectual, scientific, and cultural contributions. Second, it analyzes the internal and external factors shaping those roles, including social, political, educational, and intellectual conditions. Third, it considers the implications of Muslim contributions for the development of modern science and technology. Taken together, these three areas of inquiry seek to provide a more comprehensive understanding of Islam–West intellectual relations as a complex process of producing and exchanging knowledge rather than a merely linear process of transmission.

Research Methods

This study employs library research using a qualitative approach and an integrative literature review design. This design makes it possible to identify, compare, critically examine, and synthesize scholarly findings concerning the role of Muslims in the development of modern Western thought. As Snyder explains, an integrative literature review can generate new conceptual perspectives through critical synthesis of existing scholarship(Snyder, 2019). The scope of the analysis comprises three principal dimensions: paradigms of Muslim contributions to the development of modern Western thought; internal and external factors shaping those contributions; and implications for the development of science and technology.

These dimensions guide data organization and category development in order to provide an integrated account of Islam–West intellectual relations.

The primary corpus comprises 20 articles published in Indonesian scholarly journals between 2016 and 2025, selected for their relevance to the research questions. The articles were grouped by their dominant focus: four concerning paradigms, ten concerning influencing factors, and six concerning the implications of Islam–West relations. To improve source traceability, bibliographic details were checked against journal publishers' websites, digital object identifiers (DOIs), and scholarly indexes. A follow-up search strategy was formulated using GARUDA and Google Scholar and combinations of search terms concerning Islamic contributions to Western civilization, the transmission of Islamic knowledge to Europe, modern Islam West intellectual relations, and the renewal of Islamic thought. The inclusion criteria were substantive relevance to the study, publication in a scholarly journal, and availability of full text. Duplicate, irrelevant, or insufficiently substantive publications were excluded. Indonesian journal articles constituted the primary corpus, while academic books, international journal articles, and theoretical works served as supplementary sources for historical contextualization and interpretation.

Data were collected through documentary analysis of the selected articles. The extracted information included publication details, principal findings, types of intellectual contribution, actors, formative factors, transmission mechanisms, receiving communities, and reported implications. These data were organized into an analytical matrix linking sources, research focus, thematic codes, indicators, and conceptual categories. The matrix facilitated cross-source comparison to identify similarities, differences, and connections among findings. Consequently, the review was designed to go beyond summarizing individual articles and instead produce a synthesis capable of explaining patterns in Islam–West intellectual relations more comprehensively.

The data were analyzed using qualitative content analysis, drawing on Elo and Kyngas, who describe the phases of preparation, organization, and reporting. Operationally, the analysis involved identifying units of analysis, coding, grouping codes, comparing sources, interpreting patterns, and drawing conclusions(Elo & Kyngäs, 2008). Codes exhibiting similarities in orientation, substance, mechanisms, and consequences were compared to develop conceptual categories; differences among sources helped clarify the boundaries of each category. This synthesis yielded four paradigms, five internal factors, five external factors, three positive implications, and three problematic conditions. The resulting categories were then traced back to the literature to provide an explicit analytical basis and reduce reliance on the researcher's subjective interpretation.

Harold D. Lasswell's communication model was employed as an analytical framework to deepen the interpretation of the synthesis. Its use is grounded in the premise that intellectual developments across civilizations can be studied as the circulation of knowledge involving actors, the content of ideas, channels of transmission, receiving communities, and intellectual effects(Lasswell, 1948). The elements who, says what, in which channel, to whom, and with what effect were operationalized as follows: scientists, philosophers, translators, reformers, and scholarly institutions as actors; ideas and academic disciplines as the content of messages; translation, manuscripts, educational institutions, and intellectual networks as channels; European academic communities and Muslim societies as recipients; and the transformation of knowledge, development of rationality, educational reform, and responses to modernity as effects. Lasswell's framework was used contextually, without assuming that communication

is necessarily linear. By comparing these elements, the study interprets two patterns of knowledge circulation trans-civilizational and reflexive as a conceptual synthesis of Islam West intellectual relations.

Results And Discussion

Results

The review of 20 journal articles identified three main groups of findings concerning the role of Muslims in the development of modern Western thought: paradigms of Islam West intellectual relations, factors shaping those roles, and their implications for science and technology. According to their primary focus, four articles addressed paradigms, ten examined formative factors, and six considered implications. Through cross-source comparison, the literature was grouped according to similarities and differences in intellectual orientations, forms of contribution, modes of interaction, formative conditions, and intellectual consequences. This synthesis yielded four paradigms, ten factors five internal and five external and six categories of implications, comprising three positive contributions and three problematic conditions. The number of categories reflects thematic classification rather than code frequency or a one-to-one correspondence between articles and categories. Each category was formulated from analytical indicators and substantive connections across sources, allowing the findings to move beyond summaries of individual articles toward a more integrated account of Islam–West intellectual relations.

Paradigms of Muslim Contributions to the Development of Modern Western Thought

Cross-source synthesis identified four principal paradigms: historical mediation, responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous renewal. These paradigms were distinguished by the orientation of intellectual contributions, modes of engagement with knowledge, and mechanisms through which ideas were received and developed. Historical mediation chiefly concerns the contribution of Islamic intellectual traditions to knowledge development in Europe, whereas responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous renewal describe the dynamics of Islamic thought in relation to Western knowledge and modernity. The four paradigms therefore reflect two distinct but interrelated directions of intellectual interaction in the history of Islam–West relations. Table 1 presents the indicators used to construct the categories and the sources supporting them.

Table 1. Synthesis Matrix of Paradigms of Muslim Contributions to Modern Western Thought.

Paradigm	Analytical indicators	Supporting sources
Historical mediation	Preservation, translation, development, and transmission of knowledge across civilizations	Pandi et al. (2023); Nailal et al. (2025); Rahmasari (2023); Wahid (2025); Shofa et al. (2025)
Responses to modernity	Acceptance, rejection, selective adaptation, and identity negotiation	Nurrohmah (2024); Avionia & Syahidin (2024); Ruslan & Mawardi (2019); Nurlina et al. (2022)
Integration of rationality	Reconciliation of revelation, reason, scientific knowledge, and spiritual values	Khaerussalam et al. (2025); Nailal et al. (2025); Ashar et al. (2025); Samsudin (2019)
Continuous renewal	Revitalization of ijtihad, reinterpretation of teachings, critical	Nurfadillah et al. (2025); Ashar et al. (2025); Lestari

	engagement with turath, and educational reform	(2023); Ardiwansyah et al. (2023); Samsudin (2019)

The paradigm of historical mediation indicates that Muslim contributions to Western thought extended beyond preserving Greek intellectual heritage to developing, systematizing, and disseminating knowledge. Pandi et al. describe Islamic intellectual traditions as a bridge between classical learning and Europe (Pandi dkk., 2023b), while Nailal et al. emphasize connections between Islamic and Western philosophy through Muslim philosophers' intellectual activities (Nailal dkk., 2025). Rahmasari and Wahid identify the importance of Andalusia and Sicily as sites of intellectual encounter (Rahmasari, 2023), whereas Shofa et al. highlight the contributions of translation and scholarly centers to the development of knowledge (Shofa dkk., 2025). Comparison of these sources reveals three principal features: preservation of earlier knowledge, elaboration of scholarly content, and dissemination through multiple intellectual pathways. Their shared characteristics underpin historical mediation as a paradigm combining transmission with the development of knowledge.

The paradigm of responses to modernity reveals diverse Muslim attitudes toward rationalism, science, secularism, and Western social and political change. Nurrohmah identifies differences between acceptance and rejection of modernity (Rohmah & Mawardi, 2024), while Avionia and Syahidin discuss the challenges posed by globalization, pluralism, and social change to Muslim intellectual life (Avionia & Syahidin, 2024). Ruslan and Mawardi examine tensions arising when modern developments are associated with Westernization, secularization, and shifts in sociopolitical orientations (Ruslan & Mawardi, 2019). A synthesis of these four sources suggests that Muslim responses cannot be reduced to a binary opposition between embracing and rejecting modernity. Between these positions lies selective adaptation: the attempt to adopt advances in science and technology while preserving or reinterpreting Islamic principles. Accordingly, this paradigm is characterized by selection, negotiation, adaptation, and resistance in encounters between Islam and the West.

The paradigm of the integration of rationality emphasizes efforts to connect scientific reasoning with religious orientations. Khaerussalam et al. explain the importance of bringing revelation, reason, science, and spirituality together in Islamic intellectual traditions (Khaerussalam dkk., 2025). Nailal et al. (2025) show that Muslim philosophers did not simply adopt earlier philosophical ideas but also developed and interpreted them within Islamic intellectual settings. Ashar et al. and Samsudin , meanwhile, identify the strengthening of rational inquiry, ijtihadand education as part of intellectual renewal (Smith, 2022). These shared orientations indicate that rationality is not necessarily opposed to religious values; instead, it may form part of knowledge development within Islamic traditions. Thus, this category rests on an epistemological synthesis of rational reasoning, scientific development, and spiritual commitments.

The paradigm of continuous renewal is marked by the reinterpretation of religious teachings and reconstruction of intellectual traditions in response to changing circumstances. Nurfadillah et al. highlight the openness to change found in modern Islamic thought (Nurfadillah, 2025), while Ashar et al. (2025) and Samsudin identify renewed ijtihad, stronger rational inquiry, and educational reform as elements of intellectual renewal(Samsudin, 2019). Lestari demonstrates that turath is treated not merely as an inheritance to be preserved but also as a subject of critical examination and reconstruction (Lestari, 2023). Ardiwansyah et al. further identify intellectual movements and educational institutions as important to the development of Islamic thought (Ardiwansyah dkk., 2023). Across these sources, renewal

emerges not simply as an immediate response to external change but also as internal reflection involving reinterpretation, institutional strengthening, and the advancement of scholarly traditions.

Taken together, the four paradigms reveal two main orientations in Islam–West intellectual relations. The first emphasizes Islamic traditions' contributions to the production, development, and transmission of knowledge to Europe. The second concerns the reception, selection, integration, and renewal of ideas within Muslim societies in response to Western modernity. The paradigms are not sequential stages in a fixed chronology; rather, they are intellectual patterns that may coexist and interact in different historical circumstances. The synthesis therefore indicates that Islam–West intellectual relations encompass not only knowledge transfer but also adaptation, integration, and reconstruction of ideas.

Factors Shaping Muslim Contributions to the Development of Modern Western Thought

The synthesis identified ten factors associated with changes in Muslim intellectual engagement: five internal and five external. They were grouped according to the source and context of the impetus for change. Internal factors concern intellectual orientations, scholarly capacities, and institutional dynamics within Muslim societies; external factors encompass historical conditions, cross-civilizational encounters, political domination, and social changes affecting Islam–West relations. Table 2 presents the classification criteria and indicators for each factor.

Table 2. Synthesis Matrix of Internal and External Factors Shaping Muslim Contributions

Group	Factor	Analytical indicators
Internal	Awareness of decline and the need for tajdid	Recognition of relative disadvantage, revitalization of ijtihad, and motivation for reform
Internal	Contextualization of Islamic teachings	Reinterpretation of teachings and adaptation of thought to changing circumstances
Internal	Intellectual and educational movements	Development of educational institutions, cultures of critical inquiry, and scholarly capacity
Internal	Critical engagement with turath	Criticism, reinterpretation, and reconstruction of Islamic intellectual heritage
Internal	Adaptability of Islamic thought	Openness, selection, and development of knowledge across civilizations
External	Western colonialism and imperialism	Political domination, developmental disparities, and incentives for reform
External	Transmission through Andalusia and Sicily	Intellectual exchange, translation, education, and cross-regional encounters
External	Western rationalism and the Enlightenment	Shifting European scholarly orientations and new contexts for intellectual relations
External	Globalization and migration	Population mobility, sociocultural interaction, and transnational intellectual networks

External	Challenges of modernity and postmodernity	Technological progress, secularization, pluralism, and changing identities

Among the internal factors, awareness of decline and the perceived need for tajdid (renewal) emerge as important motives for reform. Samsudin show that awareness of intellectual lag encouraged renewed ijtihad, rational inquiry, and openness to scientific knowledge (Samsudin, 2019). This impulse is associated with the contextualization of Islamic teachings: reinterpreting religious understandings to address social needs and advances in knowledge. Ardiwansyah et al. identify intellectual movements and educational institutions as important vehicles for strengthening scholarly capacities (Ardiwansyah dkk., 2023). Lestari (2023) emphasizes critical engagement with turathwhile Nailal et al. (2025) demonstrate the capacity of Islamic intellectual traditions to receive, interpret, and develop knowledge from other civilizations. Together, these studies indicate that internal factors are linked by Muslim communities' capacity to assess their intellectual conditions, advance education, reinterpret their heritage, and respond to developments in knowledge.

Among the external factors, the literature identifies varied historical contexts shaping Islam–West relations. Wahid show the importance of Andalusia and Sicily as sites of intellectual exchange that facilitated translation, education, and the dissemination of knowledge from the Islamic world to Europe. The development of Western rationalism and the Enlightenment subsequently created a new context for relations among religion, philosophy, and science and for Islamic responses to modernity (Wahid, 2025). Under colonialism, Western political domination and inequalities in technological development became conditions encouraging reform movements in Muslim societies, as described by Ilham and Zami (Ilham & Zami, 2022). In contemporary settings, globalization and migration have broadened encounters between Muslim and Western communities through social, educational, cultural, and intellectual networks (Ariani dkk., 2022a). These developments have coincided with challenges associated with modernity and postmodernity including technological change, pluralism, secularization, and identity related issues that generate diverse intellectual responses (Avionia & Syahidin, 2024).

Synthesizing the two groups of factors demonstrates that Muslim intellectual engagement cannot be explained by internal or external factors in isolation. Internal factors chiefly concern intellectual capacity, orientations toward renewal, and the strengthening of scholarly traditions and institutions. External factors involve opportunities for interaction, historical pressures, sociopolitical changes, and the development of knowledge across civilizations. Their relationships do not invariably follow a uniform causal sequence. Knowledge transmission in the classical period, colonialism in the modern period, and contemporary globalization occurred under different conditions and produced different forms of intellectual interaction. The ten factors thus indicate that Islam–West intellectual dynamics emerge at the intersection of Muslim intellectual traditions' internal capacities and changing external historical circumstances.

Implications of Muslim Contributions for the Development of Science and Technology

The analysis identified six categories of implications in Islam–West intellectual relations: three positive contributions and three problematic conditions. The positive contributions comprise the preservation and development of intellectual heritage, the strengthening of rationality and scientific methods, and advances in various scholarly disciplines. The problematic conditions involve intellectual disparities associated with stagnation, difficulties responding to modernity, and fragmentation in intellectual and

political orientations. This distinction matters because the three problematic conditions cannot be directly attributed to Muslim scholars' contributions to European intellectual development; instead, they arise within the changing historical relationship between Muslim societies and Western modernity. Table 3 outlines the indicators underlying these six categories.

Table 3. Synthesis Matrix of the Implications of Islam–West Intellectual Relations for Science and Technology

Group	Category of implications	Analytical indicators
Positive	Preservation and development of intellectual heritage	Translation, manuscript preservation, development and dissemination of knowledge
Positive	Strengthening rationality and scientific methods	Logical reasoning, observation, experimentation, and the development of scientific approaches
Positive	Advances in science and technology	Developments in medicine, mathematics, astronomy, chemistry, and related fields
Problematic	Intellectual disparities associated with stagnation	Weakened scientific activity, internal conflicts, and reduced intellectual creativity
Problematic	Difficulties in responding to Western modernity	Tensions among modernization, Westernization, secularization, and Islamic identity
Problematic	Intellectual and political fragmentation	Differing ideological orientations and sociopolitical responses to Western influences

Among the positive implications, the literature indicates that Islamic scholarly traditions contributed to the preservation, development, and dissemination of knowledge. Shofa et al. emphasize the importance of translation and scholarly centers in maintaining and expanding intellectual resources (Shofa dkk., 2025). Pandi et al. describe how these traditions linked classical learning with Europe's intellectual revival (Pandi dkk., 2023b). Rayyahun et al. further identify Muslim scholars' contributions to logical reasoning, observation, and experimental approaches as part of the history of scientific inquiry (Rayyahun, Sukmana, Widianti, dkk., 2025). Kusnan et al. show that such developments encompassed medicine, mathematics, astronomy, chemistry, and other disciplines (Kusnan dkk., 2025). These sources collectively point to three connected contributions: the preservation of knowledge, the development of scientific ways of thinking, and the expansion of scholarly fields. Muslim intellectual contributions thus extended beyond translation to include the development of knowledge that subsequently circulated and evolved within European intellectual environments.

Regarding problematic conditions, the literature identifies challenges related to changes in intellectual capacity and responses to modernity in the Muslim world. Nasbi (2016) describes the decline of scholarly traditions in particular historical settings in connection with internal conflicts, weakened scientific activity, and the destruction of centers of learning. Ilham and Zami identify scientific disparities and colonial domination as factors behind the emergence of Islamic reform movements(Ilham & Zami, 2022). Ruslan and Mawardi discuss tensions arising when modernity becomes associated with Westernization, secularization, and changes in Muslim communal identities (Ruslan & Mawardi, 2019). Nurlina et al. , meanwhile,

identify differing ideological and sociopolitical responses to Western influences (Ariani dkk., 2022b). Across these sources, the challenges confronting Muslim societies concern not merely the introduction of modern science and technology but also sociopolitical conditions, intellectual capacities, and varied orientations toward accepting or rejecting change.

The relationship between positive contributions and problematic conditions reveals a shift in the historical position of the Islamic world within the development of knowledge. In some periods, Muslim scholars and institutions produced, developed, and transmitted knowledge that contributed to European intellectual traditions. In later historical contexts, parts of the Muslim world experienced weakened scholarly activity, disparities in scientific development, and pressures associated with modernity that generated diverse intellectual responses. This change does not establish a direct causal relationship between Islamic intellectual contributions and decline in the Muslim world; instead, it reflects different historical circumstances and institutional capacities for producing and receiving knowledge.

Overall, the findings show that Islam–West intellectual relations encompass four paradigms, ten formative factors, and six categories of implications interconnected across different historical contexts. The paradigms reveal varying orientations of contribution and intellectual response; the factors show connections between internal Islamic intellectual dynamics and external conditions; and the implications identify scholarly contributions alongside problems accompanying changing Islam–West relations. This synthesis demonstrates that the relationship between these intellectual traditions comprises not only the movement of knowledge but also its production, development, reception, selection, adaptation, and reconstruction. These patterns provide a basis for further analysis of knowledge circulation through Harold D. Lasswell's analytical framework.

DISCUSSION

Paradigms of Muslim Contributions within the Dynamics of Islam–West Intellectual Relations

The findings identify four paradigms shaping Muslim contributions to modern Western thought: historical mediation, responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous renewal. These paradigms show that Islam–West relations have involved not only the transmission of knowledge but also the reception, adaptation, negotiation, and reconstruction of ideas. This finding extends Pandi et al. , who emphasize the role of Islamic civilization in connecting classical learning with European intellectual development(Kusnan dkk., 2025). Saliba, meanwhile, shows that the development of science in Islamic societies went beyond preserving earlier knowledge and included scientific innovation connected to later developments in European science(Saliba, 2011). These perspectives support the interpretation that historical mediation was not a passive transfer of knowledge but a process of producing, developing, and transmitting knowledge through diverse intellectual actors and institutions.

Responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous renewal illuminate another dimension of Islam–West relations: the intellectual dynamics of Muslim societies confronted with change in the modern world. Nurrohmah (2024), Khaerussalam et al. (2025), and Nurfadillah et al. (2025) identify varied responses to modernity, ranging from rejection and selective acceptance to the integration of knowledge and reinterpretation of religious teachings through intellectual reform. These dynamics resonate with Rahman, who stresses the importance of transforming Islamic intellectual traditions through methodological renewal in interpretation and education(F. Rahman, 1982). Modernity, from this perspective, is neither necessarily a threat nor a model to be accepted wholesale; rather, it is a historical condition

that calls for critical engagement with and development of knowledge. The findings therefore suggest that Islam–West relations entail epistemological negotiation among Islamic scholarly traditions, developments in rational inquiry, and the need for renewal amid changing social conditions.

The connections among these paradigms indicate that Islamic intellectual contributions and Muslim responses to modernity constitute distinct but related orientations. Historical mediation primarily concerns how knowledge was developed and transmitted from Islamic intellectual settings to Europe, whereas the other three paradigms address how Islamic thought selected, integrated, and reconstructed ideas in response to modernity. Hasse demonstrates that the reception of Arabic science and philosophy in Renaissance Europe was also selective, involving acceptance, criticism, and rejection of particular traditions. This perspective reinforces the observation that cross-civilizational intellectual encounters do not occur automatically. The four paradigms are therefore better understood as configurations of intellectual relations that shift across historical contexts rather than as a necessarily linear sequence of development.

Interactions between Internal and External Factors in the Formation of Intellectual Relations

The five internal and five external factors identified in this study suggest that the development of Islamic thought cannot be explained solely by internal dynamics within Muslim societies or by external Western influences. Awareness of the need for renewal, contextualization of teachings, intellectual and educational movements, critical engagement with turath, and adaptability indicate the reflective capacities present within Islamic traditions. Ashar et al. Lestari , and Ardiwansyah et al. reveal connections among intellectual renewal, reinterpretation of tradition, and stronger educational institutions. These findings align with Rahman (1982), who views intellectual and educational renewal as central to confronting the challenges of modernity. Internal factors, therefore, are not simply fixed attributes of Muslim societies but intellectual and institutional capacities that can strengthen or weaken under different historical conditions.

External factors including scholarly transmission through Andalusia and Sicily, the rise of Western rationalism, colonialism, globalization, and the challenges of modernity reflect changing historical environments influencing Islam–West relations. Burnett likewise shows that the Arabic–Latin translation activities in Toledo involved particular translators and scholarly objectives. Both studies reinforce the finding that the transmission of knowledge depends not merely on the availability of texts but also on actors, institutions, social support, and intellectual networks through which knowledge can be received and developed(Burnett, 2001). During the colonial and modern periods, however, these configurations changed as unequal political power and technological development influenced the direction of intellectual interaction (Ilham & Zami, 2024; Nurlina et al., 2022).

A comparison of these findings shows that internal and external factors interact rather than operate as entirely separate sets of causes. Outside developments in knowledge can create opportunities for renewal, but the responses they generate depend on internal capacities to select, interpret, and develop ideas. Conversely, intellectual capacities require social and institutional conditions enabling knowledge production and dissemination. In the classical period, these interactions were especially apparent in translation and the development of scholarship; in the modern period, they were expressed in educational reform, *ijtihad*, and adaptation to developments in the West. Accordingly, the factors shaping Islam–West

intellectual relations are best understood not as a single causal chain but as interactions between capacities for knowledge production and changing historical environments.

Implications of Muslim Contributions and Shifts in Historical Positions within Knowledge Development

The findings identify three positive contributions of Islamic intellectual traditions: the preservation and development of scholarly heritage, stronger rational and scientific approaches, and advances across academic disciplines. These contributions indicate that Muslim scholars' activities extended beyond translation to philosophical inquiry, observation, and scientific innovation (Shofa et al., 2025; Rayyahun et al.,; Kusnan et al., 2025). Saliba supports this interpretation by tracing scientific developments within Islamic traditions, particularly advances in astronomy and their relationship to transformations of science in Europe. Hasse (2016) likewise demonstrates the importance of Arabic science and philosophy in Renaissance intellectual life, although their reception varied. Islamic intellectual contributions to modern Western thought should therefore be recognized as an important component of a broader, multitraditional history of knowledge, rather than as the sole cause of European intellectual advancement.

The study also identifies three problematic conditions: intellectual disparities associated with stagnation, difficulties responding to modernity, and fragmentation of intellectual and political orientations. These conditions cannot be presented as direct negative consequences of Muslim contributions to the West; instead, they arose amid historical changes in the Islamic world and its encounters with modernity. Nasbi associates weakened scholarly activity in particular settings with internal conflicts and diminished intellectual traditions, while Ruslan and Mawardi and Nurlina et al. emphasize social and ideological tensions in responses to Western influences. However, stagnation must be interpreted contextually because scientific development across Islamic societies did not decline uniformly in all regions and periods. Saliba, for example, documents the continuation of scientific innovation in some Islamic scholarly traditions into relatively later periods. The category of stagnation is therefore more appropriately applied to weakened intellectual activity in specific circumstances than to any blanket claim of decline across Islamic civilization.

Interpreting these two groups of implications highlights shifts in Muslim societies' historical positions within knowledge development. In certain periods, Muslim scholars and institutions produced, developed, and transmitted knowledge that contributed to European intellectual traditions. In later contexts, parts of the Muslim world faced disparities in scholarly capacity and a need for renewal in response to Western modernity. These findings suggest that a society's intellectual position is not permanent but depends partly on its ability to sustain research cultures, develop educational institutions, and create environments conducive to innovation. Interpretations of the implications of Islam–West relations should therefore account for changing capacities to produce and receive knowledge rather than merely contrast the advancement or decline of two civilizations.

Interpreting the Findings through Harold D. Lasswell's Communication Framework

Harold D. Lasswell's framework offers an analytical means of examining mechanisms in Islam–West intellectual relations through five elements: who, says what, in which channel, to whom, and with what effect (Lasswell, 1948). McQuail explains that communication models serve a heuristic function by organizing the components of a communication process and elucidating connections among them. From this perspective, intellectual developments can be examined not only through the substance of ideas but also through the actors producing them,

the channels through which knowledge circulates, the receiving communities, and their intellectual consequences. The present study does not treat the entire history of Islam–West relations as a single communication event. Instead, it compares different configurations of intellectual communication across historical contexts.

Regarding who, the findings show that participants in intellectual communication cannot be reduced to "Islam" as a homogeneous entity. In the classical period, actors included scholars, philosophers, translators, patrons of learning, and institutions engaged in producing and developing knowledge. This is consistent with Pandi et al. , Nailal et al. , and Rayyahun et al., who identify the roles of Muslim philosophers and scientists in knowledge development. During periods of reform, the category who increasingly included reformers, intellectuals, organizations, and educational institutions promoting ijtihad and intellectual change (Samsudin, 2019). In contemporary settings, Muslim communities and diasporas also participate as actors in transnational intellectual encounters (Ariani dkk., 2022b). The who element is thus plural and historically contingent, shaped in part by institutional structures enabling people to produce and circulate ideas.

Regarding says what, the content of intellectual communication is equally diverse. In classical Islam–Europe relations, this included philosophy, medicine, mathematics, astronomy, logic, and other fields of knowledge. Saliba highlights developments and innovations within Islamic scientific traditions. Both perspectives support the finding that circulating knowledge was not simply transferred unchanged; it underwent translation, criticism, elaboration, and systematization. In the modern period, the content of communication expanded to include ijtihad, the integration of knowledge, educational reform, reinterpretations of religious teachings, and responses to modernity (Nurfadillah et al., 2025; Ashar et al., 2025; Lestari, 2023). Thus, the communicative content of Islam–West intellectual relations includes not merely information exchanged but ideas whose meanings can change and develop in the settings where they are received.

The element in which channel reveals the multidimensional character of knowledge transmission. Channels include translations, manuscripts, libraries, educational institutions, scholarly centers, academic networks, and cross-regional social and cultural encounters. Burnett demonstrates that Arabic–Latin translation in Toledo involved specific translators, texts, and scholarly purposes. This finding aligns with Rahmasari and Wahid , who describe the roles of Andalusia and Sicily as sites of intellectual encounter between Islamic and European communities. In contemporary contexts, migration and globalization have expanded the channels of interaction through educational institutions and transnational social networks (Ariani et al., 2022). Accordingly, channel in this study means not only media used to convey information but also the social infrastructure, institutions, and networks through which knowledge is produced, circulated, and developed.

Regarding to whom, the findings indicate that receiving communities are not invariably passive. In classical transmission, recipients included Latin translators, scholars, philosophers, educational institutions, and European intellectual communities. Hasse (2016) shows that Arabic sciences and philosophy were received unevenly in Europe, being employed, elaborated, criticized, or rejected in different cases. Recipients therefore had the capacity to select and reconstruct knowledge according to their intellectual needs. During Islamic reform movements, the principal intended audiences were often Muslim communities receiving ideas about ijtihad, modern education, and the reinterpretation of tradition (Samsudin, 2019; Ashar et al., 2025). The roles of who and to whom thus changed across

historical periods, and communities could be both recipients and producers of knowledge in different communicative settings.

The element with what effect indicates that the consequences of intellectual encounters are neither uniform nor always immediate. In classical Islam–Europe relations, identified effects included the circulation of scholarship, the reception of philosophical traditions, and the development of scientific disciplines within European intellectual life (Rayyahun et al., 2025; Kusnan et al., 2025). Nevertheless, Hasse (2016) demonstrates that the influence of Arabic learning in Europe involved both acceptance and resistance, precluding a wholly linear interpretation of causation. In the context of Islamic reform, encounters with Western modernity could encourage educational change, renewed ijtihad, and rational inquiry, while also generating identity tensions and differing attitudes toward Westernization and secularization (Ruslan & Mawardi, 2019; Nurlina et al., 2022). Accordingly, effect in cross-civilizational intellectual communication is better understood as a set of consequences mediated by the receiving communities' social, political, institutional, and epistemological conditions.

Dialogue between the findings and Lasswell's model yields two conclusions. First, the model helps identify relationships among actors, knowledge content, channels of transmission, receiving communities, and intellectual consequences. Second, the findings reveal the limitations of treating these five elements as an invariably linear communication process. In Islam–West relations, messages can change through translation, recipients can become producers of new ideas, and the effects of one encounter can shape subsequent intellectual developments. Furthermore, communication takes place under conditions of power and institutional capacity that are not necessarily equal. The study therefore does not alter Lasswell's original formula; instead, it develops a contextual application capable of accounting for the transformation of knowledge and changing roles of intellectual actors.

Two Patterns of Knowledge Circulation in Islam–West Intellectual Relations

Interpreting the findings through Lasswell's framework suggests two principal patterns of knowledge circulation. The first is trans-civilizational circulation, in which Muslim scientists, philosophers, translators, and institutions act as the producers and developers of ideas (who) concerning philosophy, medicine, mathematics, astronomy, and other fields (says what). This knowledge circulates through translation, manuscripts, education, scholarly centers, and intellectual networks (in which channelenabling scholarship available in Arabic to be received by European scholars and intellectual communities (to whom). Gutas (1998) describes the complexity of the Greek–Arabic translation movement, while Burnett (2001) emphasizes the importance of Arabic–Latin translation for transmission to Europe. Saliba (2007) and Hasse (2016) further illustrate that relations between Islamic and European scholarship entailed the development, reception, and transformation of knowledge. In this first pattern, with what effect thus concerns not only the movement of learning but also its subsequent development within European intellectual settings.

The second pattern is reflexive circulation, in which developments in Western modernity provide part of the context prompting Muslim intellectuals to reassess conditions within their own societies. Here, Muslim reformers, scholars, and educational institutions represent who, while ideas concerning ijtihad, rationality, educational reform, the integration of knowledge, and reinterpretation of tradition constitute says what. These ideas circulate through education, scholarly writing, organizations, and intellectual networks (in which channel) to Muslim societies as a principal receiving community (to whom). Ashar et al. (2025)

and Samsudin (2019) show that the perceived need for renewal encouraged rational inquiry, educational development, and reinterpretation of religious teachings. This perspective is consistent with Rahman (1982), who emphasizes the transformation of Islamic intellectual and educational methods in response to modernity. In the second pattern, therefore, with what effect refers primarily to intellectual renewal within Muslim societies rather than the transmission of knowledge to the West. Western developments can provide a stimulus for reflection, while Muslim intellectual actors themselves generate and disseminate reformist ideas.

In contemporary contexts, these two forms of circulation may become increasingly reciprocal through globalization, migration, education, and transnational intellectual networks. Ariani et al. (2022) show that migration and globalization broaden opportunities for social, cultural, and intellectual encounters between Muslim and Western communities. These conditions mean that communicators and recipients may exchange roles, messages may be selected and reconstructed, and the effects of one communication process may shape subsequent interactions. As McQuail (2008) explains, Lasswell's model remains useful as a heuristic for identifying the structure of intellectual relations, yet the findings point to the need to account for historical change, active recipients, and cross-civilizational dynamics. The two circulation patterns are therefore best understood as analytical constructs describing dynamic knowledge relations, not as two historical routes that are always separate or follow a fixed sequence.

Theoretical Contributions and Originality

The contribution of this study lies in integrating the paradigms, formative factors, and implications of Islam–West intellectual relations through Lasswell's framework. Previous scholarship has offered important historical accounts of knowledge transmission, biographical studies of Muslim scientists and philosophers, and conceptual analyses of Islam's encounters with modernity. Bringing these dimensions together makes it possible to identify more systematically the relationships between knowledge-producing actors, the content of ideas, channels of transmission, receiving communities, and intellectual consequences. The study's originality therefore lies not merely in introducing additional categories of discussion but in examining the mechanisms connecting the production, dissemination, reception, and transformation of knowledge across different historical contexts.

Theoretically, the findings offer a contextual reading of Lasswell's five elements. Who denotes a historically changing constellation of actors; says what refers to knowledge that may be translated and reconstructed; in which channel refers to multidimensional channels encompassing texts, institutions, networks, and social settings; to whom identifies communities able to select and develop knowledge; and with what effect describes consequences contingent on historical circumstances and recipients' intellectual capacities. Together, these elements suggest that Islam–West intellectual relations can be examined as knowledge circulation involving multiple actors, diverse channels, and context-dependent processes of transformation. This contribution represents an operational extension of Lasswell's framework to cross-civilizational relations, rather than proof of a new communication theory with universal validity.

The conceptual implication is that Islamic intellectual contributions to the development of modern Western thought should not be regarded as a completed historical inheritance. This history illustrates the importance of capacities for knowledge production, institutions supporting scholarly exchange, and communities capable of critically selecting and

developing ideas. Recognition of Muslim scholars' contributions should therefore be linked to contemporary efforts to strengthen research cultures, education, intellectual openness, and dialogue across civilizations. Since these findings derive from a literature synthesis, however, the proposed patterns of knowledge circulation remain conceptual interpretations that require further investigation through manuscripts, translation networks, educational institutions, or intellectual relationships among specific actors in more clearly defined historical contexts.

Conclusion

This study shows that Muslim contributions to the development of modern Western thought extended beyond the transmission of knowledge to encompass the production, development, reception, adaptation, and reconstruction of knowledge in cross-civilizational intellectual relations. A synthesis of 20 articles identified four principal paradigms—historical mediation, responses to modernity, integration of rationality, and continuous renewal—alongside ten formative factors, comprising five internal and five external factors, and six categories of implications, including three positive contributions and three problematic conditions. Internal factors highlight awareness of the need for renewal, intellectual capacity, education, critical engagement with tradition, and adaptability, whereas external factors encompass historical encounters, colonialism, Western rationalism, globalization, and the challenges of modernity. Their interaction indicates that Islam–West relations have not followed a single linear trajectory; rather, they have changed in response to their social, political, institutional, and intellectual circumstances. Islamic intellectual traditions' contributions to European knowledge and Muslim societies' responses to Western modernity therefore constitute two distinct but interconnected orientations within the history of knowledge.

The study contributes by integrating paradigms, formative factors, and implications of Islam–West relations through a contextual application of Harold D. Lasswell's communication model. The elementswho, says what, in which channel, to whom, and with what effect show that intellectual relations across civilizations involve multiple actors, diverse forms of knowledge, multidimensional channels of transmission, active receiving communities, and historically contingent consequences. This interpretation identifies two patterns of knowledge circulation: trans-civilizational circulation, through which knowledge produced and developed within Islamic traditions was transmitted and transformed in European intellectual settings; and reflexive circulation, through which Western modernity provided part of the context for intellectual renewal within Muslim societies. These patterns constitute the study's conceptual contribution by showing that Islam–West relations are better understood as context-dependent circulation and transformation of knowledge rather than one-way transmission. The study thus extends the analytical application of Lasswell's framework to changing actors' positions, mechanisms of knowledge exchange, and varying effects in cross-civilizational intellectual encounters.

The study has limitations. Its primary corpus comprises 20 articles from Indonesian scholarly journals, which cannot fully represent the diversity of international historiographical approaches and debates concerning Islam–West intellectual relations. Moreover, while the integrative literature review and qualitative content analysis identify conceptual patterns, they do not directly establish historical causal relationships among knowledge transmission, institutional change, and the emergence of modern Western thought. Lasswell's framework also has limits in accounting for complex relations of power, negotiations over meaning, and feedback mechanisms. Future research should therefore broaden the corpus to include

international scholarship, primary historical sources, manuscripts, and the original works of Muslim scientists and philosophers. Comparative intellectual history, knowledge-network analysis, and more interactive communication theories could be employed to investigate the two proposed circulation patterns in greater depth. Such work may provide more empirically grounded, historically contextualized, and comprehensive accounts of how knowledge was produced, transmitted, received, and transformed between the Islamic world and the West.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang for its academic environment and scholarly support. The authors also acknowledge the researchers whose published works provided the historical, theoretical, and empirical foundations for this integrative literature review on Muslim intellectual contributions and Islam–West knowledge circulation.

Ethical Statement

Ethical approval was not required for this study because it was conducted exclusively through an integrative literature review of previously published scholarly works. The research did not involve direct interaction with human participants, primary data collection, personal information, or animal subjects. The authors maintained academic integrity through systematic source examination, accurate citation, critical interpretation, and responsible synthesis of the reviewed literature.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Statement

Artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used solely to assist with language editing, grammatical correction, and improving the clarity and readability of the manuscript. AI was not used to select the reviewed articles, extract research data, conduct qualitative content analysis, develop conceptual categories, apply Lasswell's communication model, or formulate research findings and conclusions. All scholarly interpretations, references, and substantive content were reviewed and verified by the authors, who take full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, and integrity of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Rizqiatin Rosa contributed to the conceptualization, research design, literature searching, source selection, documentary data extraction, qualitative content analysis, conceptual synthesis, and preparation of the original manuscript. Zulfi Mubaraq contributed to the conceptualization, methodological development, theoretical interpretation, validation of the analytical categories, and critical review and revision of the manuscript. A. Nurul Kawakip contributed to the development and application of Lasswell's communication framework, interpretation of Islam–West intellectual relations, validation of the conceptual synthesis, and manuscript review and editing. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement

The authors declare that this research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no financial, professional, institutional, or personal conflicts of interest that could have influenced the conduct, analysis, interpretation, or publication of this research.

References

Ardiwansyah, B., Cahyono, H., & Iswati, I. (2023). Potret Gerakan Intelektual Dan Institusi Pendidikan Islam Di Indonesia Beserta Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhinya. *At-Tajdid: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pemikiran Islam*, *7*(1), 158–178.

Ariani, D., Sagala, G. E., Napitupulu, N. H., Hartanti, S. A., & Tarigan, M. (2022a). Umat Islam di Dunia Barat Kontemporer. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling (JPDK)*, *4*(6), 6060–6067. https://doi.org/10.31004/jpdk.v4i6.9259

Ariani, D., Sagala, G. E., Napitupulu, N. H., Hartanti, S. A., & Tarigan, M. (2022b). *Umat Islam di Dunia Barat Kontemporer*. *4*(6).

Ashar, M. U., Abdurrahman, A., Hasfar, A. H., Makrifat, & Rahmawati. (2025). Latar Belakang Muncul dan Berkembangnya Pembaharuan dalam Islam. *Journal of Innovative and Creativity*, *5*(3), 36861–36865. https://doi.org/10.31004/joecy.v5i3.5826

Avionia, T., & Syahidin, S. (2024). Dinamika Agama Islam: Tantangan dan Transformasi dalam Konteks Kontemporer. *Jurnal Budi Pekerti Agama Islam*, *2*(4), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.61132/jbpai.v2i4.438

Burnett, C. (2001). The coherence of the Arabic-Latin translation program in Toledo in the twelfth century. *Science in context*, *14*(1–2), 249–288.

Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *62*(1), 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x

Hamzah, E. (2022). Contribution of Islamic Civitalization to the Western Civitalization (Historical Review). *Journal of Islam and Science*, *9*(1), 26–32. https://doi.org/10.24252/jis.v9i1.29015

Ilham, M., & Zami, R. (2022). Sejarah Pemikiran Islam Modern Abad 19-20 Di Nusantara. *JAMBE: Jurnal Sejarah Peradaban Islam*, *4*(2), 40–47.

Khaerussalam, A., Khaqiqi, A. F., & Siregar, A. (2025). Revitalisasi Peradaban Islam: Menjembatani Teori Reformis dan Realitas Kontemporer. *GHAITSA : Islamic Education Journal*, *6*(2), 282–293. https://doi.org/10.62159/ghaitsa.v6i2.1742

Kusnan, K., Aelani, M. J., & Saleh, K. (2025). Kontribusi Peradaban Islam Terhadap Ilmu Pengetahuan Dunia. *Tashdiq: Jurnal Kajian Agama Dan Dakwah*, *16*(2), 131–140.

Lasswell, H. D. (1948). *The Structure and Function of Communication in Society. In Bryson, L., Ed., The Communication of Ideas, Harper & Row, 37-51. - References – Scientific Research Publishing*. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=4296656

Lestari, D. A. (2023). Diskursus Perkembangan Turats Dalam Islam. *Kordinat: Jurnal Komunikasi Antar Perguruan Tinggi Agama Islam*, *22*(1), 25–40. https://doi.org/10.15408/kordinat.v22i1.33487

Naila, N., Sahrudinb, R., Juliantic, S., Sitid, W., & Parhane, M. (2025). Keterhubungan Filsafat Islam dan Barat: Membangkitkan Pemikiran di Tengah Zaman Kegelapan. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, *2*(4), 1089–1095.

Nailal, N., Sahrudin, R., Julianti, S., Siti, W., & Parhan, M. (2025). Keterhubungan Filsafat Islam dan Barat: Membangkitkan Pemikiran di Tengah Zaman Kegelapan. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran | E-ISSN : 3026-6629*, *2*(4), 1089–1095.

Nurfadillah, A. S. U., Muhammad Zain, Jihan Fahira, Bahaking Rama. (2025). *Pemikiran Modern Dalam Konteks Islam Global*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15458179

Pandi, A., Rama, B., & Yahdi, M. (2023a). Kontribusi Islam terhadap Peradaban Barat. *Jurnal Studi Lintas Negara*, *5*(1), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.37567/cbjis.v5i1.2150

Pandi, A., Rama, B., & Yahdi, M. (2023b). Kontribusi Islam Terhadap Peradaban Barat Islamic Contribution To Western Civilization. *CBJIS: Cross-Border Journal of Islamic Studies*, *5*(1), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.37567/cbjis.v5i1.2150

Rahman, F. (1982). *Islam and modernity: Transformation of an intellectual tradition*. University of Chicago Press.

Rahman, G. (2024). Kontribusi Peradaban Islam pada Dunia. *Al-Manba: Jurnal Ilmiah Keislaman dan Kemasyarakatan*, *9*(1), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.69782/almanba.v9i1.31

Rahmasari, A. (2023). Historical Development Of The Entry Of Islam In Europe. *Al-Masail: Journal of Islamic Studies*, *1*(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.61677/al-masail.vi.70

Rayyahun, A., Sukmana, A. S., & Widianti, A. (2025). Transmisi Peradaban Islam ke Dunia Barat: Jalur , Kontribusi , dan Dampaknya terhadap Renaisans Eropa. *Jurnal Alwatzikhoebillah*, *11*(2), 400–410. https://doi.org/10.37567/alwatzikhoebillah.v11i2.3460

Rayyahun, A., Sukmana, A. S., Widianti, A., Hasaruddin, & Harisa, R. (2025). Transmisi Peradaban Islam ke Dunia Barat: Jalur, Kontribusi, dan Dampaknya terhadap Renaisans Eropa. *Jurnal Alwatzikhoebillah : Kajian Islam, Pendidikan, Ekonomi, Humaniora*, *11*(1), 400–410. https://doi.org/10.37567/alwatzikhoebillah.v11i2.3460

Rohmah, N., & Mawardi, K. (2024). Respon Islam Terhadap Modernitas Barat. *Transformasi Manageria: Journal of Islamic Education Management*, *4*(1), 42–52.

Ruslan, I., & Mawardi, M. (2019). Dominasi Barat dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Dunia Islam. *Al-Adyan: Jurnal Studi Lintas Agama*, *14*(1), 51–70. https://doi.org/10.24042/ajsla.v14i1.4484

Saliba, G. (2011). *Islamic Science and the Making of the European Renaissance*. MIT Press.

Samsudin. (2019). Islam Modern Era Abad 21. *Qalamuna - Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial, dan Agama*, *10*(02). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3559269

Shofa, S. Z., Nurhawa, F. A., Ubaidillah, M., Arzaki, A. A., & Faisol, F. (2025). Kontribusi islam terhadap ilmu pengetahuan: Dari tradisi ke peradaban global. *Maliki Interdisciplinary Journal*, *3*(6), 1406–1415.

Smith, H. (2022). Latar Belakang Muncul Dan Berkembangnya Pembaharuan Dalam Islam. *Al-Tadabbur*, *8*(1), 91–105.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, *104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Wahid, M. A. (2025). Gerakan renaissance: Relasi eropa dengan dunia islam. *Maliki Interdisciplinary Journal*, *3*(11), 1886–1895.